



Faculty of Mechanical Engineering

**SOUND RADIATION FROM VIBRATING PLATE
WITH DIFFERENT BOUNDARY CONDITIONS
USING DISCRETE SOURCE TECHNIQUE**

Nurain Shyafina binti Ab. Latif

Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering

2016

**SOUND RADIATION FROM VIBRATING PLATE
WITH DIFFERENT BOUNDARY CONDITIONS
USING DISCRETE SOURCE TECHNIQUE**

NURAIN SHYAFINA BINTI AB. LATIF

**A thesis submitted
in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science
in Mechanical Engineering**

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

2016

DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis entitled “Sound radiation from vibrating plate with different boundary conditions using discrete source technique” is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

Signature :

Name :

Date :

APPROVAL

I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion this thesis is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering

Signature :

Supervisor Name :

Date :

“To my beloved parents”

ABSTRACT

The study of sound radiation from vibrating plate is an important subject in acoustic and being widely explored throughout years. The aims of this thesis are first to develop sound radiation model from a vibrating plate using discrete elementary source for different boundary conditions such as free-free, simply-supported and clamped-clamped. Secondly, the aim is to validate the radiation efficiency model between the proposed method and the experimental data. Analytical models of the sound radiation a rectangular plate are often based on simply supported edges for its mathematical convenience. Models for other boundary conditions also exist, but mostly these employ rather complicated analytical calculations. This study presents a mathematical model of the radiation efficiency for a baffled plate using a discrete elementary source model. The plate velocity from each element on the plate has been determined from Finite Element Analysis (FEA) then was inserted into MATLAB for radiation efficiency calculation. The model requires only the knowledge of the spatial distribution vibration velocity of the panel and hence, the surface velocity can be calculated conveniently by using the established mobility equations for different boundary conditions. The model from FEA has validated with theoretical model. After the validation, which the model from FEA shows good agreement with the theoretical model, then the radiation efficiency can be determined using velocity data from FEA modeling. For validation, the experiment was done in small chamber and reverberation chamber. The sound power was measured using reciprocal technique because of its convenient (time efficient, less cost) compared to direct method which needs the use of shaker. The experimental results are presented for free-free and clamped-clamped boundary conditions which show reasonable agreement with the predicted results. On the basis of the results of this research, it can be concluded that the clamped-clamped boundary condition has the highest radiation efficiency compared to free-free and simply-supported boundary conditions. The model to calculate the radiation efficiency from vibrating plate using discrete elementary source has been successfully modeled and validated with the experimental data.

ABSTRAK

Model analisis radiasi bunyi plat segi empat tepat biasanya adalah berdasarkan bahagian sempadan plat yang disokong untuk memudahkan pengiraan matematik. Model untuk keadaan sempadan yang lain juga wujud, tetapi kebanyakannya menggunakan analisis pengiraan yang rumit. Kajian ini membentangkan model analitikal kecekapan radiasi bunyi untuk plat menggunakan model sumber asas diskrit. Halaju plat untuk setiap elemen plat telah dikira dari Analisis Elemen Takterhingga (FEA) kemudian dimasukkan ke dalam MATLAB untuk pengiraan kecekapan radiasi. Model ini memerlukan pengetahuan halaju getaran untuk taburan ruang panel dan seterusnya, halaju permukaan boleh dikira dengan mudah dengan menggunakan persamaan kebolehergerakan yang diwujudkan untuk keadaan sempadan plat yang berbeza. Model daripada FEA telah disahkan dengan model teori. Selepas pengesahan dibuat yang mana model daripada FEA menunjukkan keputusan yang baik dengan model teori, kecekapan radiasi bunyi menggunakan model sumber asas diskret boleh ditentukan. Untuk pengesahan antara eksperimen dan model yang dicadangkan, eksperimen telah dijalankan di kebuk kecil dan kebuk penggemaan besar. Tekanan bunyi diukur menggunakan teknik timbal balik disebabkan caranya yang lebih mudah dan ringkas berbanding teknik pengukuran secara langsung yang memerlukan penggunaan penggetar. Pengesahan antara keputusan eksperimen dan model yang dicadangkan telah dibuat dan ditunjukkan dalam kajian ini. Keputusan eksperimen yang ditunjukkan oleh plat keadaan sempadan bebas dan plat keadaan sempadan terkapit menunjukkan keputusan yang munasabah dengan keputusan yang dijangkakan daripada model yang dicadangkan. Sebagai kesimpulan, plat dengan keadaan sempadan terkapit menunjukkan kecekapan radiasi bunyi yang paling tinggi berbanding dengan plat keadaan sempadan bebas. Model untuk mengira kecekapan radiasi bunyi menggunakan model sumber asas diskret telah berjaya dihasilkan dan disahkan dengan keputusan eksperimen.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of Allah, The Beneficent, The Merciful

This research was made possible through contributions, support, and encouragement from many individuals. Unfortunately, it is not possible to acknowledge each of them here. First and foremost, I would like to thank ALLAH SWT for the blessing and ability given to me to eventually complete my Master thesis. I would like to give sincere tribute to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Azma Putra for everything. From preliminary ideas to data processing, invaluable guidance, continuous encouragement, and excellent supervision in making this research possible. I really appreciate the consistent support he provided me throughout the research. The good advise, support, and friendship of my second supervisor, Mr. Hairul bin Bakri has been invaluable on both academic and personal level for which I am extremely grateful.

I wish to express my gratitude towards my parents, Ab. Latif bin Ab. Majid and Asmah binti Surip, for their unlimited love. It is because of their prayer that I have become what I am. I could not find appropriate words that could properly describe my appreciation for their devotion, support, and faith in pursuing my dreams.

Also not to forget staff in the Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka and Faculty of Engineering and Built Environment of Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi which their names are too numerous to mention, who help me

directly or indirectly throughout the whole project. For my colleagues in 'Acoustics and Vibration Group', thank you for the brilliant discussion which gives much input to my work.

Finally and most importantly, to all my friends that always encourage me to never give up in my study and always being good friends throughout my 4 years here.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
DECLARATION	i
APPROVAL	ii
ABSTRACT	iv
ABSTRAK	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	vi
LIST OF TABLES	x
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xviii
LIST OF PHYSICAL CONSTANT	xix
LIST OF SYMBOL	xx
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	xxii
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Background	1
1.3 Problem statement	3
1.4 Objective	3
1.5 Scope of study	4
1.6 Thesis outline	4
1.7 Thesis contributions	5
1.8 Chapter summary	5
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Introduction	6
2.2 Past researches on radiation efficiency of vibrating structure	6
2.3 Chapter summary	14

3	METHODOLOGY	15
3.1	Introduction	15
3.2	Analytical model	15
3.2.1	Sound power and radiation efficiency	15
3.2.2	Plate mobility	24
3.3	Discrete version of Rayleigh integral	30
3.4	Finite element modeling	32
3.5	Experimental setup	33
3.5.1	Plate parameter	33
3.5.2	Mobility measurements	33
3.5.3	Sound power measurement using reciprocal technique	37
3.5.3.1	Measurement in small acoustic chamber	39
3.5.3.2	Measurement in reverberation chamber	41
3.5.3.3	Schoeder frequency	45
3.6	Chapter summary	46
4	SIMULATION RESULTS AND ANALYSIS	47
4.1	Introduction	47
4.2	Plate mobility of single point excitation from established model	47
4.3	Validation between Finite Element Analysis (FEA) and theoretical model	54
4.4	Radiation efficiency of single point excitation	60
4.5	Average radiation efficiency across excitation locations	63
4.5.1	Normal modes of plate	64
4.5.2	Results for simply supported plate	68
4.5.3	Results for free-free and clamped-clamped boundary conditions	68
4.6	Sound radiation by non-uniform plate structure: Beam-stiffened plate	74
4.7	Chapter summary	82
5	EXPERIMENTAL VALIDATION	83
5.1	Introduction	83
5.2	Mobility measurement	83
5.2.1	Mobility of free-free boundary condition	83
5.2.2	Clamped-clamped boundary condition mobility results	88
5.3	Radiation efficiency measurement	92
5.3.1	Results in small acoustic chamber	92
5.3.2	Results in reverberation chamber	97
5.4	Chapter summary	101
6	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORKS	102
6.1	Introduction	102
6.2	Conclusions	102
6.3	Recommendations	103
	REFERENCES	104

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
1	Values for the constant G_x, H_x, J_x	26
2	Characteristic beam functions	27
3	Zeros of the gamma-function γ	28
3.7	Plate parameter	33
3.8	Coordinate of the excitation points across plate surface	34

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Noise are from various sources (Bruel and Kjaer, 2000).	1
1.2	Example of plate-like structure in car panels (Weyman, 2006).	2
2.1	Location of the numerical examples for $m=22$, $n=14$. Line I, $a=3$, $b=1$; line II, $a=1.5$, $b=1$; line III, $a=1$, $b=2$. (Leppington <i>et. al.</i> , 1982).	7
2.2	Behaviour of radiation efficiency, σ at large acoustic wavelength, k along path: (a) I (b) II (c) III (black line: numerical integration; dashed line: asymptotic evaluation) (Leppington <i>et. al.</i> , 1982).	8
2.3	Normalised mutual-radiation resistances of modes: (1,1)(1,3) (black line), (1,1)(1,5) (long dashed line), (1,1)(1,7) (short dashed line), and (1,1)(1,9) (dashed dotted line) (Li and Gibeling, 2000).	10
2.4	Radiation efficiency of a rectangular plate driven by center unit force: a) simply-supported and clamped edges; b) free and guided edges. (Berry <i>et. al.</i> , 1990).	12
2.5	Radiated sound power of a rectangular plate into water: (a) simply-supported; (b) free-free : far-field (black), $K = 4$ (black-dashed), $K = 0$ (dashed-dotted) (Berry, 1994).	12
2.6	Radiation efficiency of a rectangular plate with same boundary conditions along the four edges. (Squicciarini <i>et. al.</i> , 2014) : FFFF (free-free boundary condition at four edges), SSSS (Simply-supported at four edges) and CCCC (Clamped-clamped at four edges).	14
3.1	The flowchart of research methodology.	16
3.2	Coordinate system vibrating plate.	17

3.3	Example of radiation efficiency curve for plate dimension (0.65×0.5) m with 3 mm thickness.	20
3.4	Illustration of positive and negative inter-cells for vibrating simply supported plates.	22
3.5	Radiation efficiency of rectangular plate with different dimension such as: (a) (0.3×0.2) m with 1.5 mm thickness (b) (0.65×0.5) m with 1.5 mm thickness (c) (0.3×0.2) m with 3 mm thickness (d) (0.65×0.5) m with 3 mm thickness (average (black solid line) and modal radiation efficiency (blue lines)).	23
3.6	Illustrated figure of the rectangular plate for (a) free-free , (b) simply- supported, and (c) clamped-clamped boundary conditions.	29
3.7	A vibrating plate lying in xy plane where $p(z)$ is the sound pressure at any point of observation and v_p is the velocity of the plate: a) before discretization and b) after discretization.	30
3.8	Diagram for mobility measurement setup using a frame (clamped-clamped boundary condition).	35
3.9	Mobility measurement setup using frame for clamped-clamped boundary condition (beam-screwed plate).	35
3.10	Mobility measurement setup using frame for free-free boundary condition.	36
3.11	Schematic view for the a) direct measurement and b) reciprocity method.	37
3.12	The comparison between the direct method and reciprocity method.	40
3.13	Experimental setup for reciprocity technique in the small acoustic chamber.	40
3.14	Sound pressure measurement in small acoustic chamber.	41
3.15	Illustration of sound power measurement setup for reciprocity technique in reverberation chamber at UKM.	42
3.16	Actual experimental setup for reciprocity technique in reverberation chamber in UKM.	43
3.17	Experimental setup for free-free boundary condition in reverberation chamber.	44
3.18	Close-up view of plate with different boundary conditions such as (a) free-free and (b) clamped-clamped.	45

4.1	Point mobility of a rectangular plate for dimension of (0.3×0.2) m and 1.5 mm thick for (a) free-free, (b) simply supported, and (c) clamped-clamped boundary conditions.	48
4.2	Point mobility of a rectangular plate with simply-supported boundary condition for: (a)-(b) (0.3×0.2) m for 1.5 mm and 3 mm plate thickness (c)-(d) (0.65×0.5) m for 1.5 mm and 3 mm plate thickness.	50
4.3	Point mobility of a rectangular plate with clamped-clamped boundary condition for: (a)-(b) (0.3×0.2) m for 1.5 mm and 3 mm plate thickness (c)-(d) (0.65×0.5) m for 1.5 mm and 3 mm plate thickness.	51
4.4	Point mobility of a rectangular plate with free-free boundary condition for: (a)-(b) (0.3×0.2) m for 1.5 mm and 3 mm plate thickness (c)-(d) (0.65×0.5) m for 1.5 mm and 3 mm plate thickness.	53
4.5	Coordinate for excitation point.	54
4.6	Sample of mobility curve using velocity from FEA and theoretical modelling from Gardonio and Brennan (2004) of simply supported boundary condition for dimension (0.3×0.2) m with 1.5 mm thickness between theoretical (blue) and FEA (grey-dashed).	55
4.7	Sample of radiation efficiency curve calculated from FEA and theoretical modelling from Cremer <i>et. al.</i> (2005) of simply supported boundary condition for dimension (0.3×0.2) m with 1.5 mm thickness between theoretical (blue) and FEA (grey-dashed).	56
4.8	Point mobility of rectangular plate with dimension (0.3×0.2) m of 1.5 mm and 3 mm for : (a)-(b) simply-supported boundary condition (c)-(d) clamped-clamped boundary condition (e)-(f) free-free boundary condition : theoretical (blue) and FEA (grey-dashed).	57
4.9	Point mobility of rectangular plate with dimension (0.65×0.5) m with simply supported boundary condition for: (a) 1.5 mm (b) 3 mm plate thickness: theoretical (blue) and FEA (grey-dashed).	58

4.10	Point mobility of a rectangular plate with a dimension (0.65×0.5) m of 1.5 mm and 3 mm thickness for : (a) and (b) clamped-clamped boundary condition; and (c) and (d) free-free boundary condition: theoretical (blue) and FEA (grey-dashed).	59
4.11	Radiation efficiency of rectangular plate with dimension (0.3×0.2) m of 1.5 mm and 3 mm for : (a)-(b) simply supported boundary condition (c)-(d) clamped-clamped boundary condition and (e)-(f) free-free boundary condition: theoretical (blue) and FEA (grey-dashed).	61
4.12	Radiation efficiency of a rectangular plate with dimension (0.65×0.5) m with simply supported boundary condition for : (a) 1.5 mm (b) 3 mm plate thickness: theoretical (blue) and FEA (grey-dashed).	62
4.13	Grid for 10 excitation locations across the plate surface.	63
4.14	Normal mode shapes for the first ten modes of plate for simply supported boundary condition.	65
4.15	Normal mode shapes for the first ten modes of plate for clamped-clamped boundary condition.	66
4.16	Normal mode shapes for the first ten modes of plate for free-free boundary condition.	67
4.17	Radiation efficiency of a baffled plate of 1.5 mm and 3 mm thickness for dimensions: (a)-(b) (0.3×0.2) m , (c)-(d) (0.4×0.3) m, (e)-(f) (0.65×0.5) m. Thin line: radiation efficiency at 10 different excitation points; blue line: average radiation efficiency.	70
4.18	Radiation efficiency of plate for dimensions of (0.3×0.2) m with 1.5 mm and 3 mm thickness for (a)-(b) free-free (c)-(d) clamped-clamped boundary conditions. Thin line: radiation efficiency at 10 different excitation points; blue line: average radiation efficiency.	71
4.19	Radiation efficiency of plate for dimensions of (0.4×0.3) m with 1.5 mm and 3 mm thickness for (a)-(b) free-free (c)-(d) clamped-clamped boundary conditions. Thin line: radiation efficiency at 10 different excitation points; blue line: average radiation efficiency.	72

4.20	Radiation efficiency of plate for dimensions of (0.65×0.5) m with 1.5 mm and 3 mm thickness for (a)-(b) free-free (c)-(d) clamped-clamped boundary conditions. Thin line: radiation efficiency at 10 different excitation points; blue line: average radiation efficiency.	73
4.21	Comparison of measured radiation efficiency of 1 mm thickness between plain plate and plate with beam-stiffened (Fahy and Gardonio, 2007).	74
4.22	Dimension of the I-profile beam on aluminum plate as a stiffener.	75
4.23	Simulation of 2 mm thickness aluminum plate with clamped-clamped boundary condition.	76
4.24	Normal mode shapes for the first ten modes of plate for beam-stiffened (I-profile) with clamped-clamped boundary condition.	78
4.25	Normal mode shapes for first ten modes of plate for five beam-stiffened (I-profile) with clamped-clamped boundary condition.	80
4.26	Simulated radiation efficiency of 2 mm thickness plate with clamped-clamped boundary condition. flat plate (blue line); plate with three beam-stiffened (black line); plate with five beam-stiffened (red line).	81
5.1	Point mobility measurement for free-free boundary condition for dimension (0.3×0.2) m for thickness: a) 1 mm , b) 2 mm and c) 3 mm : experimental (black-dashed) and theoretical (blue).	84
5.2	Power spectrum of excitation signal.	85
5.3	Experimental results of spatially averaged squared transfer velocities for free-free boundary condition for dimension (0.3×0.2) m for thickness: a) 1 mm, b) 2 mm and (c) 3 mm : full band (black-dashed) and one-third octave (red-dashed).	86
5.4	Point mobility measurement for free-free boundary condition for dimension (0.65×0.5) m for thickness: a) 1 mm and b) 2 mm : experimental (black-dashed) and theoretical(blue).	87
5.5	Transfer mobility measurement for free-free boundary condition for dimension (0.65×0.5) m for thickness: a) 1 mm and b) 2 mm :one-third octave band (black-dashed) and narrow bandwidth (red-dashed).	87

5.6	Frame and plate being clamped using beam screw.	88
5.7	Point mobility measurement for clamped-clamped boundary condition for dimension (0.3×0.2) m for thickness: a) 1 mm , b) 2 mm and c) 3 mm : experimental (black-dashed) and theoretical (blue).	89
5.8	Experimental results of spatially averaged squared transfer velocities for clamped-clamped boundary condition for dimension (0.3×0.2) m for thickness: a) 1 mm b) 2 mm and (c) 3 mm : one-third octave band (black-dashed) and narrow bandwidth (red-dashed).	90
5.9	Point mobility measurement for clamped-clamped boundary condition for dimension (0.65×0.5) m for thickness: a) 1 mm and b) 2 mm : experimental (black-dashed) and theoretical (blue).	91
5.10	Experimental results of spatially averaged squared transfer velocities for clamped-clamped boundary condition for dimension (0.65×0.5) m for thickness: a) 1 mm and b) 2 mm : one-third octave band (black-dashed) and narrow bandwidth (red-dash).	91
5.11	The sound pressure level in the small acoustic chamber (grey-dashed) and its floor noise (blue-dashed).	92
5.12	Sound pressure measured using the reciprocity method in small acoustic space of (0.3×0.2) m plate for: a) free-free boundary condition and b) clamped-clamped boundary condition : 1 mm thickness (blue), 2 mm thickness (red), 3 mm thickness (black) and noise floor (blue-dashed).	93
5.13	Plate acceleration measured using the reciprocity method in small acoustic space for plate of (0.3×0.2) m for: a) free-free boundary condition and b) clamped-clamped boundary condition : 1 mm thickness (blue), 2 mm thickness (red), 3 mm thickness (black) and noise floor (grey-dashed).	93
5.14	Sound power measured using the reciprocity method in small acoustic space for plate of (0.3×0.2) m for: a) free-free boundary condition and b) clamped-clamped boundary condition: 1 mm thickness (blue), 2 mm thickness (red) and 3 mm thickness (black).	94

5.15	Radiation efficiency results in small acoustic chamber of plate (0.3×0.2) m for free-free boundary condition for thickness (a) 1 mm, (b) 2 mm and (c) 3 mm : theoretical (blue) and experimental (black-dashed).	95
5.16	Radiation efficiency results in small acoustic space of plate (0.3×0.2) m for clamped-clamped boundary condition for thickness (a) 1 mm , (b) 2 mm and (c) 3 mm : theoretical (blue) and experimental (red-dashed).	96
5.17	The sound pressure level in the reverberation chamber in UKM (blue line) and its floor noise (black line).	97
5.18	Sound pressure measured using the reciprocity method in reverberation chamber of (0.65×0.5) m plate for: a) free-free boundary condition and b) clamped-clamped boundary condition: 1 mm thickness (black), 2 mm thickness (red) and noise floor (blue-dashed).	98
5.19	Plate acceleration measured using the reciprocity method in reverberation chamber of (0.65×0.5) m plate for: a) free-free boundary condition and b) clamped-clamped boundary condition: 1 mm thickness (black), 2 mm thickness (red) and noise floor (blue-dashed).	98
5.20	Sound power measured using the reciprocity method in reverberation chamber of (0.65×0.5) m plate for: a) free-free boundary condition and b) clamped-clamped boundary condition: 1 mm thickness (grey) and 2 mm thickness (blue).	99
5.21	Experimental results in reverberation chamber for clamped-clamped boundary condition for dimension (0.65×0.5) m with thickness for: (a) 1 mm and (b) 2 mm : theoretical (blue) and experimental (red-dashed).	100
5.22	Experimental results in reverberation chamber for free-free boundary condition for dimension (0.65×0.5) m with thickness for: (a) 1 mm and (b) 2 mm : theoretical (black) and experimental (red-dashed).	101

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

FEA **F**inite **E**lement **A**nalysis

FFT **F**ast **F**ourier **T**ransform

SPL **S**ound **P**ressure **L**evel

kHz kilo **H**ertz

CAD **C**omputer **A**ided **D**esign

LIST OF PHYSICAL CONSTANT

Speed of sound	c_o	=	343 ms^{-1}
Density of the air	ρ	=	1.2 kgm^{-3}
Density of aluminium	ρ_{al}	=	2700 kgm^{-3}
Poisson's ratio of aluminium	ν	=	0.334
Young's Modulus of aluminium	E	=	$7.1 \times 10^{10} \text{ Nm}^{-2}$

LIST OF SYMBOL

a	Length of panel
b	Width of panel
E	Young's modulus
F	Force
h	Thickness of plate
$i, j = \sqrt{-1}$	Imaginary unit
I	The second mass moment inertia of the structure
k	Acoustic wavenumber
M	Total mass of panel
m	Odd modes
n	Even modes
Re	Real part
v	Velocity
v_p	Plate velocity
W	Radiated power
Y_p	Point mobility
Y_t	Transfer mobility
$\langle \overline{v^2} \rangle$	Spatial average of mean-squared velocity
ω	Angular frequency or natural frequency
ρ	Density
η	Damping loss factor
ν	Poisson's ratio
φ	Relative phase
λ	Wavelength
ϕ, θ	Phase
μ	Mean

σ	Sound radiation
Φ	Normalised mode shape

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Proceedings

A. Putra, N. Muhammad, N. Shyafina, and D. J. Thompson, Radiation Efficiency of a Perforated Panel Modelled Using Elementary Source Technique. In Proceedings of the 20th International Congress on Sound Vibration (pp. 1-8) (2013). *International Institute of Acoustics and Vibration*.

A. Putra, N. Shyafina, N. Muhammad, H. Bakri and N. F. Saari . Development of Hybrid Semi-Analytical and Finite Element Analysis to Calculate Sound Radiation from Vibrating Structure. Advanced Materials Research (Vol. 845, pp. 71-75) (2014).

A. Putra, N. Shyafina, D. Thompson, N. Muhammad , M. Jailani M. NOR, and Z. Nu-awi ,Modelling sound radiation from a baffled vibrating plate for different boundary conditions using an elementary source technique. In INTER-NOISE and NOISE-CON Congress and Conference Proceedings (Vol. 249, No. 1, pp. 5812-5819) (2014, October). *Institute of Noise Control Engineering*.

N. Shyafina, A. Putra, Z. Y. Lim, and N. Muhammad, Reciprocity method in a small acoustic space to measure sound radiation from baffled perforated plates. *Proceedings of Mechanical Engineering Research Day 2015: MERD'15*, 101-102 (2015).